

### **AMENDMENT and RESPONSE**

In response to the Non-Final Office Action dated August 9, 2005 kindly amend the application as follows:

#### **IN THE CLAIMS:**

No amendments are made to the claims above.

#### **REMARKS**

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the courteous Action on the merits.

#### **Drawings**

The replacement drawings accompanying the previously filed Response to Office Action on May 31, 2005 were accepted by the Examiner.

#### **Double Patenting**

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claim 1 of U.S. Patent 6,360,426. To overcome the double patenting rejection, Applicant herewith submits a Terminal Disclaimer To Obviate A Double Patenting Rejection Over A "Prior" Patent. The Terminal Disclaimer fee is dealt with in the Transmittal Letter accompanying this Response.

#### **Allowable Subject Matter**

The allowance of Claims 1-3 and 6-11 is noted with appreciation.

**Conclusion**

Reconsideration and allowance of all claims are requested.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Louis J. Bachand, Reg. No. 19726  
Attorney for Applicant  
P.O. Box 1508  
La Canada, CA 91012-5508  
(818) 952-3414 Telephone No.  
(818) 952-3420 Fax No.

Customer No. 20,986